



Identification of covariance structures

Jack Lucchetti

Facoltà di Economia “Giorgio Fuà” — Ancona

Covariance structures

Definition of a *covariance structure*: parametric model featuring A and B such that

$$A(\theta)\Sigma A(\theta)' = B(\theta)B(\theta)'$$

$$\Sigma = V(y_t | \mathcal{F}_t)$$

y_t : observable variables

θ : parameters

Examples:

- ⑥ Structural VARs
- ⑥ Factor Multivariate GARCH/SV models
- ⑥ Multivariate statistics (factor analysis, principal components, ...)
- ⑥ LISREL models

The identification problem

If the equation

$$A_0 \Sigma A_0' = B_0 B_0'$$

is satisfied, then

$$A_1 \Sigma A_1' = B_1 B_1'$$

is satisfied too, with

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= Q A_0 \\ B_1 &= Q B_0 H \end{aligned}$$

where Q is invertible and H is orthogonal.

What constraints are needed on A and B to ensure that

$$\Sigma = A^{-1}BB'(A')^{-1}.$$

is unique?

In general, it never is :-).

In an ϵ -neighbourhood, impose *linear constraints*:

$$\begin{aligned}R_a \text{vec}A &= R_a a = d_a \\ R_b \text{vec}B &= R_b b = d_b;\end{aligned}$$

or, in explicit form,

$$\begin{aligned}a &= S_a \theta + d_a \\ b &= S_b \theta + d_b;\end{aligned}$$

In vectorised form: if

$$\begin{aligned}A + dA &= (I + Q)A \\ B + dB &= (I + Q)B(I + H),\end{aligned}$$

where $(I + Q)$ is nonsingular and $(I + H)$ is orthogonal, then

$$\begin{aligned}da &= (I \otimes Q)(S_a\theta + s_a) \\ db &= [(I \otimes Q) + (H' \otimes I)](S_b\theta + s_b)\end{aligned}$$

Identification fails if H and/or Q exist such that

$$\begin{aligned}R'_a da &= R'_a (I \otimes Q) (S_a \theta + s_a) = 0 \\R'_b db &= R'_b [(I \otimes Q) + (H' \otimes I)] (S_b \theta + s_b) = 0\end{aligned}$$

What can we say on H and Q ?

Let's start from a narrower case.

The C -model

$$\hat{\Sigma} = B_0 B_0'.$$

The model is under-identified if an admissible B_1 exists in a neighbourhood of B_0 which can be written as

$$B_1 = B_0 + dB_0 = B_0(I + H),$$

where $(I + H)$ is orthogonal.

Then $(I + H)$ must be an *infinitesimal rotation*.

Infinitesimal rotations

A matrix $I + H$ is an infinitesimal rotation if

- ⑥ H is infinitesimal
- ⑥ $(I + H)$ is orthogonal.

This implies that H is hemisymmetric ($H = -H'$) and

$$h_{n^2 \times 1} = \text{vec}H = \tilde{D}_n \cdot \varphi_{\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \times 1}$$

Infinitesimal rotations — example

For example, a (2×2) infinitesimal rotation can be written as

$$I + H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\varphi \\ \varphi & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So that

$$h = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \varphi \\ -\varphi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \varphi$$

The C -model — continued

If

$$R'_b db = R'_b (H' \otimes I) (S_b \theta + s_b) = 0.$$

where H is hemisymmetric \implies no identification at θ .
It can be shown that if the model is identified at θ , the equations

$$(1) \quad \varphi' \tilde{D}'_n (I \otimes R'_i) [S\theta + s] = \varphi' T_i \theta + \varphi' t_i = 0$$

have no solutions except $\varphi = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$.

The C -model — continued

Various cases:

- ⑥ If the order condition fails, there is a $\varphi \neq 0$ that satisfies (1) for any θ .
- ⑥ The order condition is met, but a $\varphi \neq 0$ can be found that satisfies (1) for any θ . This case is called *structural under-identification*.

The structure condition can be checked via the rank of

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{i=1}^{n^2-p} \left[\tilde{D}'_n (I \otimes S'_i) R R' (I \otimes S_i) \tilde{D}_n \right] + \tilde{D}'_n (I \otimes \bar{S}') R R' (I \otimes \bar{S}) \tilde{D}_n$$

The C-model — continued

Main results

- ⑥ Both the order and structure conditions are necessary. Neither is sufficient. However,
- ⑥ If both the order and structure conditions are met, then identification occurs *almost everywhere* in Θ .
- ⑥ Both conditions can be checked numerically.

The C -model — example

The classic Cholesky decomposition:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 & 0 \\ \theta_2 & \theta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

- ⑥ Order condition: OK
- ⑥ $\mathcal{M} = \tilde{D}'_n \left[\sum_{i=1}^3 (I \otimes S_i) R' R (I \otimes S'_i) \right] \tilde{D}_n = 1$
- ⑥ therefore the structure condition is OK too.

The C -model — example

Analytically:

Consider postmultiplying B by an arbitrary infinitesimal rotation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 & 0 \\ \theta_2 & \theta_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\varphi \\ \varphi & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 & -\theta_1\varphi \\ \theta_2 + \theta_3\varphi & \theta_3 - \theta_2\varphi \end{bmatrix}$$

The result is not admissible (ie lower triangular) if and only if $\theta_1\varphi = 0$. If $\varphi \neq 0$, then θ_1 must be 0.

The C -model — example

In terms of the previous results:
equation (1) is

$$\varphi' \tilde{D}'_n(I \otimes R'_i)(S\theta + s) = \varphi \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \end{bmatrix} = \varphi \cdot \theta_1 = 0.$$

If $\varphi \neq 0$, then the only solution is $\theta_1 = 0$.

The only region in Θ where the model is under-identified is the plane $\theta_1 = 0$, which has zero Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^3 .

As a consequence, the model is identified almost everywhere.

The AB -model

In the general case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}A + dA &= (I + Q)A \\ B + dB &= (I + Q)B(I + H).\end{aligned}$$

Under-identification implies non-zero solutions to

$$\begin{aligned}R'_a da &= R'_a (I \otimes Q) (S_a \theta + s_a) = 0 \\ R'_b db &= R'_b [(I \otimes Q) + (H' \otimes I)] (S_b \theta + s_b) = 0;\end{aligned}$$

The AB-model — continued

H must be hemisymmetric (like before). Q is unrestricted because $(I + Q)$ is nonsingular for any infinitesimal Q . Again, identification implies no trivial solutions to

$$\begin{aligned} q'U_i^a\theta + q'u_i^a &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1 \dots p_a \\ q'U_j^b\theta + \varphi'T_j^b\theta + q'u_j^b + \varphi't_j^b &= 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1 \dots p_b \end{aligned}$$

Again, the matrices U_i^a , U_i^b and T_i^b depend only on the constraints structure.

The AB -model — structure condition

The AB -model is structurally identified if a certain matrix \mathcal{M} (that does not fit in a slide) is invertible.

\mathcal{M} is a function of the R 's and S 's alone.



The structure condition can, again, be checked numerically.

Open questions

- ⑥ Relationship between the set of non-identified points and the set of singular matrices (both have 0 measure)?
- ⑥ Can this be generalised to nonlinear constraints?
- ⑥ How about inequality constraints?